

**From:** Micah Mitrosky mmitrosky@ibew569.org  
**Subject:** Please Read IBEW 569 Comments - Item 11 Product Offerings  
**Date:** January 21, 2021 at 9:28 AM

**To:** secretary@thecleanenergyalliance.org, CEO CEA JPA ceo@thecleanenergyalliance.org, Barbara@BAYSHORECGI.COM  
**Cc:** Jeremy Abrams jabrams@ibew569.org, Gretchen Newsom gnewsom@ibew569.org



## PLEASE READ INTO THE RECORD – ITEM 11 PRODUCT OFFERINGS

Dear Chair Becker and CEA Board Members:

I am submitting these comments on behalf of IBEW Local Union 569 regarding potential Clean Energy Alliance Product Offerings.

We support the minimum 50% renewable and 100% renewable products. Revenue generated from the premium in the 100% product can be set aside in a fund dedicated to local programs and projects in the service territory. Local investments linked with job quality standards and community benefits agreements, prioritizing communities of concern, can bring much-needed economic and environmental benefits to communities most in need.

We are concerned to see a proposal for a Minimum State Renewable Portfolio Standards product. In the December staff report, CEA staff noted this is 36% in 2021 increasing to 39% in 2022. This is the wrong direction for our region and our climate. It is also concerning to see a proposal for a 75% carbon-free product. Carbon-free can include nuclear or large hydroelectric resources. Prioritizing local renewable energy projects here in our region that are truly clean and renewable will maximize local job creation and economic benefits.

We urge you to reject the Minimum State Renewable Portfolio Standards product and the 75% carbon-free product and move forward with the 50% and 100% product offerings as referenced above.

Thank you for your consideration.

Micah Mitrosky  
Environmental Organizer  
IBEW 569